IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.M.JAMES

FRIDAY, THE 19TH JANUARY 2007 / 29TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 2240 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

PHILOMINA JINI, AGED 30, D/O.GEORGE,
NJARAKKATTU VEETTIL, KAITHARAM,
N. PARUR, PARUR TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SMT.M.SINDHU THANKAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. SPECIAL SALE OFFICER, ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL), N. PARUR.

2. PARUR MAHILA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK, NO.1259, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.N. SANTHOSH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J.M.JAMES, J.

W.P.(C). 2240/2007

Dated this the 19th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

There is an apprehension by the writ petitioner that coercive steps would be initiated against her by the respondents, as the demand notice dated 8.1.2007 had been issued for an amount of Rs.1,05,821/- due from the petitioner to the second respondent.

2. I heard the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the writ petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader. The counsel submits that the petitioner may be permitted to remit the amount in instalments.

3. Considering the facilities of One Time Settlement that is now prevalent and applicable to the matters of this nature, I dispose of this writ petition directing the writ petitioner to pay an amount of Rs.25,000/- within one month from today to the second respondent. The latter shall receive this amount adjusting

towards the total amount. The second respondent shall also calculate the amount due, as per the One Time Settlement scheme available under Circular No.59/2006 dated 30.11.2006. This shall be done within one month from today.

4. On receipt of the order of the second respondent about the balance amount due, the same shall be remitted by the writ petitioner in six equal monthly instalments. The first instalment shall be paid within one month from the date of payment of Rs.25,000/-, as ordered above.

5. If there is any default of two consecutive instalments, the petitioner will not be entitled for the benefit of the scheme.

6. On receipt of the entire amount as per the above directions, the transaction with the petitioner shall be closed by the second respondent.

J.M.JAMES
JUDGE

mrcs